

**2nd LEARN Workshop: Research Data Management towards Open Science. -
6th April 2016 at the Campus of the University of Vienna**

LEARN Workshop evaluation

Where appropriate respondents scored each question using a scale of 1-5 where 1 = Poor and 5 = Excellent

1. How suitable was the venue for the LEARN Workshop held in Vienna, Austria?

| Score | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Total | Weighted Average |
|-------------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|-------|------------------|
| Respondents | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 12 | 18 | 4.5 |
| % | 0.00% | 5.56% | 5.56% | 22.22% | 66.66% | | |

2. How adequate did you find the administrative and catering arrangements for the Workshop?

| Score | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Total | Weighted Average |
|-------------|----|----|-------|--------|--------|-------|------------------|
| Respondents | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 13 | 18 | 4.67 |
| % | 0% | 0% | 5.56% | 22.22% | 72.22% | | |

3. How do you rate the presentation from Dr. Paul Ayris (Library Services, University College London, UK) on “Research Data Management and the brave new world”?

| Score | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Total | Weighted Average |
|-------------|----|----|-------|--------|--------|-------|------------------|
| Respondents | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 12 | 18 | 4.6 |
| % | 0% | 0% | 5.56% | 27.78% | 66.67% | | |

4. How do you rate the presentation from Prof. Andreas Rauber (Vienna University of Technology) on “Enabling Precise Identification and Citability of Dynamic Data: Recommendations of the RDA Working Group”?

| Score | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Total | Weighted Average |
|-------------|----|----|----|--------|--------|-------|------------------|
| Respondents | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 16 | 18 | 4.89 |
| % | 0% | 0% | 0% | 11.11% | 88.89% | | |

5. How do you rate the presentation from Prof. Gerhard Budin (Centre for Translation Studies, University of Vienna) on “Big (Language) Data – From research strategies to proof-of-concept and implementation projects in linguistics research”?

| Score | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Total | Weighted Average |
|-------------|----|-------|--------|--------|--------|-------|------------------|
| Respondents | 0 | 1 | 3 | 8 | 6 | 18 | 4.1 |
| % | 0% | 5.56% | 16.67% | 44.44% | 33.33% | | |

6. How do you rate the presentation from Catriona MacCallum (PLOS) on “How can we ensure research data is reusable? The role of Publishers in Research Data Management”?

| Score | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Total | Weighted Average |
|-------------|----|----|----|--------|--------|-------|------------------|
| Respondents | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 13 | 18 | 4.7 |
| % | 0% | 0% | 0% | 27.78% | 72.22% | | |

7. Was there enough time for questions and discussion after each presentation?

| | No | Yes | Total |
|-------------|-------|--------|-------|
| Respondents | 1 | 17 | 18 |
| % | 5.56% | 94.44% | |

8. How useful were the afternoon Round Tables to express your own views and opinions on research data management (RDM) policies?

| Score | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Total | Weighted Average |
|-------------|----|----|--------|--------|--------|-------|------------------|
| Respondents | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 11 | 18 | 4.44 |
| % | 0% | 0% | 16.67% | 22.22% | 61.11% | | |

9. Did the feedback session after the 3 afternoon Round Tables give you a general picture of the status quo of research data management (RDM) policies?

| | No | Yes | Total |
|--------------------|---------------|---------------|-----------|
| Respondents | 2 | 16 | 18 |
| % | 11.11% | 88.89% | |

10. What are your expectations from the LEARN project?

Expanding the network, learning from others, contribute to standards and templates

The development of a European standard for RDM

A toolkit with suggestion for the definition of a policy, with best practices and suggestions (what to avoid)

To create model policies, toolkits and other resources that will support people and institutions in dealing with the challenges that research data management brings

I expect some resources and best practices to help me design and implement a research data policy in my institution

Exchanging ideas, learning about RDM policies and expanding my network with valuable contacts.

Giving overview of RDM in Europe, keeping the topic hot (the decision makers must be convinced) and assistance for the steps

I visited the workshop as a guest and I am happy that I could attend

Help me to address RDM issues

Create networks

A Framework of best practice that can lead to rules and regulations for Austria

Raising awareness in research data management issues and research policy

Recommendations on RDM and open data (policy, DMP, reuse)

More networking

I expect that the deliverables (the model and the toolkit) will be useful and will be largely adopted. It is very important to collect as much information as possible from the research communities and from the other professionals involved in the management of data.

1. to learn more about the different issues concerning RDM
 2. to actively participate and having the chance to express own ideas and/or experiences in this context
 3. and hence try to augment knowledge in RDM for the community
-

11. Do you have any comments or feedback to share?

Unfortunately I could not participate in the round table discussions, so I cannot comment on them

It was a most rewarding meeting due to the appropriate size of the expert group

Great venue, excellent hosts, delicious food :)

Compliments. I came back with good ideas and the purpose to share the information

I think what the project is doing in collecting info, needs, experiences through workshops and surveys is very valuable

Though this area is pretty new and we are learning by doing and by sharing! I see a strong need of training. This will be the next step.

I would have preferred to start half an hour earlier with the key notes, and therefore having a short break after the first two presentations having the other two after the break
