

LEARN Workshop: How to make Research Data Management Policies work

28th June 2016 at Paasitorni Congress, Helsinki

LEARN Workshop evaluation

Where appropriate respondents scored each question using a scale of 1-5 where 1 = Poor and 5 = Excellent

1. How suitable was the venue for the Helsinki LEARN Workshop?

Score	1	2	3	4	5	Total	Weighted Average
Respondents	0	1	0	8	18	27	4.59
%	0.00%	3.70%	6.12%	29.63%	66.67%		

2. How adequate did you find administrative and catering arrangements for the Workshop adequate to support the success of the event?

Score	1	2	3	4	5	Total	Weighted Average
Respondents	0	0	0	7	19	26	4.73
%	0%	0%	0%	6.92%	73.08%		

3. How did you rate the paper by Martin Moyle on the LEARN project?

Score	1	2	3	4	5	Total	Weighted Average
Respondents	0	2	4	8	11	25	4.12
%	2.04%	8.00%	16.00%	32.00%	44.00%		

4. How did you rate the paper by presentation by Jean-Francois Dechamp, Open by default: the challenges of research data in Europe?

Score	1	2	3	4	5	Total	Weighted Average
Respondents	0	0	1	9	17	27	4.59
%	0%	0%	3.70%	33.33%	62.96%		

5. How would you rate the presentation by Kathleen Shearer, Research data management: the challenge of developing services in a multi-stakeholder environment?

Score	1	2	3	4	5	Total	Weighted Average
Respondents	0	0	3	7	17	27	4.52
%	0%	0%	11.11%	25.93%	62.96%		

6. How would you rate the presentation by Tomi Kauppinen, How to manage and share Spatiotemporal Research Data? Supporting learning and reproducibility online via Linked Open Science?

Score	1	2	3	4	5	Total	Weighted Average
Respondents	1	0	4	10	12	27	4.19
%	3.70%	6.38%	14.81%	37.04%	44.44%		

7. How useful were the afternoon Workshops spaces to express your own views and opinions on research data management?

Score	1	2	3	4	5	Total	Weighted Average
Respondents	0	2	3	8	13	26	4.23
%	0%	7.69%	11.54%	30.77%	50.00%		

8. Was there enough time for questions and discussion after each plenary paper?

	No	Yes	N/A	Other	Total
Respondents	5	20	1	1	27
%	18.52%	74.07%	3.70%	3.70%	

Other:

- I have some questions now after reading the materials anew
-

9. Did the panel session enable you to get a general picture of the state of play in research data management and the points of view of its main stakeholders?

	No	Yes	Total
Respondents	5	21	26
%	19.23%	80.77%	

10. How would you rate the presentation by Sarah Callaghan, Open Data in a Big Data World: easy to say, but hard to do?

Score	1	2	3	4	5	Total	Weighted Average
Respondents	0	1	0	7	18	26	4.62
%	0%	3.85%	0.00%	26.92%	29.23%		

11. Is there any other comment you would like to make about the LEARN London Workshop to inform future events from the Project?

Wonderfully usefull !

This guided me and my university forward in our work with developing Research Data Management. Especially the Commission representative and Sarah gave essential and new information and inspiration.

Pity we could not have the summaries of the breakout groups' discussions.

The duration of breakout groups could be even a little bit longer, e.g. 60 minutes total, since some of the time was spent in the beginning presentation circle. [Thank you for an excellent and informative Workshop and venue!](#)

Event was enjoyable and mostly useful, but way too long. Especially the panel session felt useless. It didn't bring up any new points, that hadn't been made in previous presentations.

The workshop was too short to get in depth with the issues of RDM. Maybe there were too many presentations and not enough time for questions after the presentations and not enough time for the breakout sessions.

Workshops should be centred on less questions. I expect more practical information & guidelines (along the lines of the proposed toolkit and model RDM policy). Summarizing: more to the point meeting agenda, more concrete deliverables at the end of the day
